The 2019 novel coronavirus pandemic has laid bare vast systemic problems—including the care crisis—that advocates have sought to rectify for generations. Workers are speaking out about their struggles balancing protecting their health, caring for their families, and staying afloat economically during these unprecedented times. Yet, without urgent action, the emergency paid leave rights that have been a lifeline for workers will expire at the end of 2020. We must listen to workers' voices, especially the voices of low-wage workers of color so often marginalized, and extend and expand these critical protections. By providing workers with paid leave when they care for their loved ones, we are taking the important step of valuing care work that goes unnoticed even though it is so critical for society and our economy to thrive.
The Significance of the Families First Coronavirus Response Act

The Families First Coronavirus Response Act (FFCRA) is the first federal paid leave law, and for many workers, it is their only source of paid leave. The FFCRA guarantees covered workers critical access to emergency paid leave to care for their own health or for some close family members when impacted by COVID-19. Emergency paid sick leave covers days when workers cannot work because they are subject to a quarantine or isolation order (including a shelter-in-place or similar order), have been advised by a medical provider to self-quarantine, or are experiencing coronavirus symptoms and seeking medical diagnosis. The sick leave also covers days when workers need to care for certain loved ones who are subject to a quarantine or isolation order or have been advised to self-quarantine, or to care for a child who has been affected by school closures. The law also provides up to 12 weeks of leave (10 paid) when a child’s school or place of care has been closed, or childcare is unavailable, due to COVID-19.
Congress Must Act Now

The FFCRA has been a critically needed lifeline for working families, offering essential paid leave protections when they needed them most. Yet that lifeline is about to be ripped away: the FFCRA’s leave protections will expire at the end of 2020, leaving workers and families reeling at a time when COVID-19 cases are rising throughout the country. Congress must act now to extend these crucial rights and, at the same time, improve the law to meet the needs of workers left out of the original law. The paid leave provisions of the HEROES Act, passed by the House on a bipartisan basis in May, must be immediately enacted to ensure all workers have the paid leave they need in this crisis.

Through our Free Work-Family Legal Helpline, A Better Balance has heard from hundreds of workers across the country who are facing economic insecurity and struggling to care for themselves and their loved ones due to the COVID-19 crisis. A disproportionate number of callers have been people of color, which reflects the disproportionate impact COVID-19 has had on communities of color. Many of the workers, including many low-wage workers, we have spoken to were able to use the FFCRA to care for themselves and their families. For those who have used it—many of whom had little or no access to paid leave prior to the law’s passage—the FFCRA has been a game-changing tool that has helped keep them and their families afloat through this difficult time. With more people getting sick each day, the doors of schools and daycares across the country remaining closed or closing once again, and shelter-in-place orders returning, now is the time to build on these rights, not take them away.

However, the experiences of other workers that we’ve heard from show that while enacting the FFCRA has was a necessary first step, simply extending the law is not enough. Unfortunately, the law currently leaves out up to 106 million workers. Principally, workers who work at businesses with 500 or more employees are explicitly excluded. Additionally, the law allows certain health-care provider and emergency responder employers to opt out of coverage. These exclusions disproportionately affect women of color and can weigh especially heavily on low-income workers, who are much less likely to have access to paid sick days or paid leave without a legal right. Additionally, workers who have taken or will take FMLA leave within the current 12-month period for reasons unrelated to COVID-19, due to the Department of Labor’s (DOL) interpretation of the FFCRA, have severely diminished rights, because the 12 weeks of paid leave to care for a child whose school or place of care has been closed due to COVID-19 come from the same bank of leave as regular FMLA leave. The fixes outlined in the HEROES Act would close these devastating gaps. All workers need accessible paid leave protections during this national emergency and beyond, and failure to protect millions of workers nationwide is both unjust and dangerous for public health.
Workers have benefited from the FFCRA’s protections.

A Better Balance has spoken to many workers who have successfully taken urgently-needed leave under the law, much to their and their families’ benefit. Our helpline is often the first place they hear about the law, and its relevance to them. And all too often, they have to push to assert their rights with employers who are uninformed about its application or resistant to complying with their obligations. For many of our callers who are covered by the law, and who are able to equip themselves with the facts, the FFCRA has provided invaluable leave at a difficult time. As their experiences show, the FFCRA is an essential resource that must be extended to protect workers as the pandemic continues to rage.

- **REGINA** is an essential worker in the grocery industry and soon-to-be new mom in Illinois. She contacted A Better Balance’s helpline at 33 weeks pregnant to learn more about her rights because she was growing increasingly concerned about potential exposure to the coronavirus while pregnant. Her due date was drawing closer and closer just as coronavirus cases in her area were beginning to see a sharp spike. Regina’s doctor advised that she remain fully isolated for the two weeks prior to her due date due to health concerns. She still needed a paycheck, but knew she would not be able to perform her job entirely from home. Regina was surprised to learn that the FFCRA covered her. After speaking with her employer about it, she happily told us, “[M]y employer has accepted my request to use the FFCRA emergency [sick] leave and I am thrilled to know I will be paid to isolate the 2 weeks leading up to my due date.”

While the FFCRA is offering a tremendous benefit to Regina at a critical time, she is concerned that others who need emergency sick leave—such as two pregnant healthcare workers she spoke with whose employers both informed them that they were not covered under the law—are not able to access it. “It breaks my heart,” Regina told us, that they are essential workers yet have “no opportunity” to protect themselves and their pregnancies.

- **D.G.** is a medical assistant in Orange County, NY and a single mom to her daughter who started the fourth grade this year with her school still shut down and remote due to the pandemic. Fortunately, D.G. was covered under the FFCRA and was able to take 12 weeks of emergency paid leave from her job to stay home with her daughter and supervise her virtual learning, which she believes was absolutely essential to her daughter’s education and well-being during this already very difficult time. “Being virtual is stressful as it is. She’s definitely struggling. It was important that I was there with her to make sure she was getting all the information she needs.” D.G. feels strongly that FFCRA leave should be extended into 2021 in order to “give parents and caregivers peace of mind” that they will not have to “scramble to figure out who will be watching their children or how they will pay for child care when they are unable to work.” As she says, “Cases are going up and there are many who are not comfortable . . . putting their families at risk for infection.”
• **BRIANA**, a human resources professional at an essential business in California, needed leave to care for a family member who is at high-risk for COVID-19, and to care for her children whose schools are closed as a result of COVID-19. She called us for information on her rights, and was then able to get approved for emergency sick time and emergency paid family leave under the FFCRA.

• **DARRYL**, a security officer in Florida, who had been ordered to self-quarantine by a healthcare provider while awaiting the results of a COVID-19 test, called our helpline looking for help because his employer told him that he was an emergency responder, and that he was therefore ineligible for emergency paid sick time under the FFCRA. We provided information on his rights and the Department of Labor’s definition of emergency responder. After talking with a DOL investigator, he was able to receive emergency sick time because he is not considered an emergency responder under the FFCRA.

• **CRYSTAL** is a youth counselor in Kentucky. While suffering from COVID-19 symptoms, she was denied emergency paid sick leave under the FFCRA and told to take FMLA leave. Without access to emergency paid sick leave, Crystal would have exhausted all of her FMLA before her doctor-recommended quarantine period was up, and could have been terminated from her job. After talking with our helpline, she was able to receive emergency paid sick leave and correct her FMLA leave entitlement, giving her more time to care for her health. As Crystal told us, “I was truly grateful for the information [about the FFCRA] and help that you provided me with. You saved my livelihood!”

• **STEHPANIE**, who works for the city of Huntsville, Alabama, was unable to work because she needed to care for her son, who had recently been advised to quarantine by his doctor. She called us to find out if she was eligible for emergency sick time and family leave under the FFCRA. After sharing our fact sheet and talking with her employer, she was able to receive paid sick leave and family leave under the FFCRA to care for her son and maintain her income and benefits.

• **DAVID TIEU** is a fire alarm technician in New York, New York, who, before the FFCRA was enacted, was told that he was being laid off after he asked to use his accrued time off when his son’s daycare closed due to COVID-19 and he suddenly had no childcare. He was ultimately able to get his job restored after filing a complaint with the National Labor Relations Board, and was able to take twelve weeks of leave under the FFCRA based on his need to care for a child who no longer had childcare available due to COVID-19.

• **ANGELICA** works at a warehouse in Santa Ana, California. She contracted COVID-19 and her employer gave her time off work to recover, but initially said she wouldn’t get paid. Thankfully, she spoke with HR, who told her she will be able to get paid for two weeks under the FFCRA.
• **DEJA** is a server at an airport in **Texas**. She called our helpline with questions about her rights to emergency paid family leave because she did not have childcare for her three children since their schools—and then their summer camp—closed due to COVID-19. Her employer told her that, since they had gotten a PPP loan, leave under the FFCRA may not be available. After calling our helpline, she was able to explain the differences between the PPP loan and the FFCRA to her employer to make sure she would get the leave she needs. She submitted documentation that her children’s summer camp was closed over the summer and HR approved her for FFCRA leave.

• **ERIC** works in sales in **Virginia**. He needed leave to care for his child while her school was closed. He was relatively new to the job and did not have the option to telework, and he was concerned for the health and safety of his family and their financial security. He requested paid leave multiple times from his employer with no success. While he had not been employed long enough to qualify for emergency paid family leave under the FFCRA, he qualified for emergency paid sick leave under the FFCRA. After talking with A Better Balance and using our resources, he was able to receive emergency paid sick leave under the FFCRA to care for his family and stay safe.

• **VICTORIA** worked as a personal assistant for an automotive company in **North Carolina**. During the start of the summer, Victoria was unsure of her right to paid family leave when her children’s school closed due to COVID-19. After talking with A Better Balance, she was able to take the full 12 weeks of emergency family leave under the FFCRA, and inform her employer of her rights. This emergency leave provided her with job protection and paid leave to care for her family’s well-being and keep crucial income.

• **SHERYL** is a business manager at a military hospital in **North Carolina**. She was concerned about her rights to emergency paid sick time and family leave to care for her daughter who is 15 years old. Her daughter’s school had been closed during the pandemic, and she was worried about her daughter’s health and safety. After calling our helpline, she was able to request FFCRA from her employer and was approved for emergency sick time.

• **MATT** , who works in city government in **New York**, contacted our helpline seeking information about his rights under the FFCRA. After he spoke with us, and learned that he is covered by the FFCRA, Matt’s toddler son developed COVID-19 symptoms. Because of the FFCRA, Matt was able to take the day off to take his son to the doctor, and was able to take the next day off as well to care for him. Matt explained that it turned out that his son “didn’t have COVID, luckily, but definitely needed care. And it was a little scary, before we got the results back.” Matt said that the sick time provided by the FFCRA “allowed me to focus all of my attention on caring for the sick toddler without having to fulfill my job duties at the same time.”
But we have also heard from many others who are unable to take FFCRA leave for the reasons mentioned above. Here are some of their stories:

We’ve heard from workers whose employers are too large to be covered by the FFCRA:

- **MONA***, an occupational therapist in **Maine**, needed leave to care for her neurodivergent daughter, whose school had closed due to COVID-19. Her employer initially told her they would provide her with leave, but later backtracked and told her to return to work. Her employer told her that if she did not return to work, she would be removed from her status as a full-time employee. Her employer is too large to be covered by the FFCRA, which might otherwise give her the right to job-protected paid sick leave and emergency paid family leave in this situation.

- **LULU*** was a certified nurse assistant at an assisted living facility in **North Carolina**. There was a large outbreak of COVID-19 at her workplace, and she was exposed to a resident who tested positive for COVID-19. Her doctor advised her to self-quarantine and she was out of work for almost a week. However, her employer is too large to be covered by the FFCRA and did not provide her with paid leave.

- **SARAH** is part of the kitchen staff at a large nursing home in **Michigan**. She tested positive for COVID-19, and her employer required her to stay home but would not pay her for the time she was out. Since her employer is too large for the FFCRA to apply—and, even if they were small enough to be covered, they could claim the optional exemption for employers of healthcare workers—she has no paid leave available to her.

- **JEFFREY** is an HVAC technician in Long Island, **New York**. He has worked at the same company for over ten years. He is immunocompromised and at an increased risk of infection. He has a 7-year-old son whose school closed due to the COVID-19 outbreak, and his wife, a nurse, is unable to stay at home during the work day because she is an essential worker. Jeffrey, worried about his family’s options, called to find out if he might be eligible to take paid family leave to care for his son, while staying healthy and employed. He is likely not protected by the FFCRA since his employer is too large.

- **DIANE*** is a supermarket worker in **Washington** State. She has metabolic disorders including type 1 diabetes. She is on an unpaid leave of absence right now because her doctor has advised her to self-quarantine due to the risks of contracting COVID-19. While she was able to use the remainder of her sick time, she was not eligible for emergency paid sick leave under FFCRA since her employer has 500 or more employees.
• **AFIYA** works at a non-profit organization in New York, New York, with 500 or more employees. She is therefore exempt from the FFCRA. She does not have childcare available due to COVID-19 and her employer told her that because the FFCRA does not apply to them due to their size, the only option she has is to go on unemployment and then re-apply to work at the organization once she has childcare.

We've heard from workers who may be denied leave under the FFCRA because their employers could be eligible for the small business exemption:

• **SOFIA** works for a small construction company in California. Her children’s school closed as a result of COVID-19 and while her employer has permitted her to work from home, she is unable to do so full time as a result of her childcare responsibilities. Her employer may be able to opt for the small business exemption under the FFCRA, since they have fewer than 50 employees.

• **HEATHER** is a bartender in Tennessee for an employer with fewer than 50 employees. Her daycare provider has an autoimmune condition and, accordingly, shut down due to the pandemic, leaving Heather without childcare for her 9- and 11-year-old children. Since her employer is a small business, they may be able to claim the FFCRA’s small business exemption for childcare-related FFCRA leave. Heather requested leave to address her childcare needs, and her employer terminated her shortly after.

We've heard from healthcare workers whose employers may opt to exempt them from FFCRA coverage:

• **LEIGHA THOMASON**, a certified medical assistant at a mental health facility in North Carolina, called our helpline because her two sons’ summer camp was closed due to the pandemic. She needed time off from work to care for them, especially since one has high blood pressure that needs monitoring. While her employer provided her with some leave as a matter of company policy, her employer claimed the FFCRA’s healthcare provider exemption, denying her access to emergency family leave under the FFCRA. As a result, she had to attempt to juggle working full-time from home while also caring for her children, which took a serious toll on her own well-being and that of her children.
• **TATIANA** is a mammography technologist at a hospital in Boynton Beach, Florida. She experienced COVID-19 symptoms and was sent home on unpaid leave while she recovered and awaited test results. She felt she had no choice but to return to work at her employer’s request after only 11 days from when she first experienced symptoms; she got her positive test result back 3 days later. She wanted to know if she could get paid for the time she had to take off to quarantine, but unfortunately, since she works for a healthcare provider with greater than 500 employees, she cannot be compensated through the FFCRA.

• **JEANNE** is a nurse at a hospital in Kansas with 500 or more employees. She needs leave to care for her 96-year-old father who is in hospice and at high-risk for COVID-19. She is not eligible for any leave under the FFCRA because of her employer's size. Even if her employer had fewer than 500 employees, she still might be exempt as a healthcare provider.

We've heard from emergency responders whose employers may opt to exempt them from FFCRA coverage:

• **CRAIG** is an emergency responder in Indiana. He tested positive for COVID-19 after returning from an out-of-state assignment he was directed to work by his employer. His employer claimed the emergency responder exemption and, as a result, gave him only limited sick pay under their existing policies—much less than he needed and would otherwise have been entitled to under the law.

• **MARINA** was a security officer in Georgia. Her 9-year-old’s daycare was closed due to COVID-19. Additionally, the childcare provider who typically assists her 17-year-old, who has special needs, closed due to COVID-19. Due to the nature of Marina’s work, she is considered an emergency responder under the FFCRA. Her employer opted for the emergency responder exemption under the FFCRA, so Marina was unable to take the leave she needed due to a lack of childcare for her daughter. As a result, she was forced to quit her job.

• **DANNY**, a paramedic in New York, New York, has a pregnant fiancée at home who tested positive for COVID-19. She is in self-isolation, and Danny is responsible for her care and the care of her son, whose school is closed due to COVID-19. His employer told him that he doesn’t qualify for FFCRA leave since he is a paramedic, apparently invoking the emergency responder exemption. He has exhausted all of his PTO during the pandemic and will now be on unpaid leave.


APPENDIX: STORIES STATE-BY-STATE

ALABAMA

**STEPHANIE**, who works for the city of Huntsville, **Alabama**, was unable to work because she needed to care for her son, who had recently been advised to quarantine by his doctor. She called us to find out if she was eligible for emergency sick time and family leave under the FFCRA. After sharing our fact sheet and talking with her employer, she was able to receive paid sick leave and paid family leave under the FFCRA to care for her son and maintain her income and benefits.

CALIFORNIA

**SOFIA**, works for a small construction company in **California**. Her children’s school closed as a result of COVID-19 and while her employer has permitted her to work from home, she is unable to do so full time as a result of her childcare responsibilities. Her employer may be able to opt for the small business exemption under the FFCRA, since they have fewer than 50 employees.

**ANGELICA** works at a warehouse in Santa Ana, **California**. She contracted COVID-19 and her employer gave her time off work to recover, but initially said she wouldn’t get paid. Thankfully, she spoke with HR, who told her she will be able to get paid for two weeks under the FFCRA.

**BRIANA**, a human resources professional at an essential business in **California**, needed leave to care for a family member who is at high-risk for COVID-19, and to care for her children whose schools are closed as a result of COVID-19. She called us for information on her rights, and was then able to get approved for emergency sick and emergency paid family leave under the FFCRA.
**FLORIDA**

**TATIANA** is a mammography technologist at a hospital in Boynton Beach, Florida. She experienced COVID-19 symptoms and was sent home on unpaid leave while she recovered and awaited test results. She felt she had no choice but to return to work at her employer’s request after only 11 days from when she first experienced symptoms; she got her positive test result back 3 days later. She wanted to know if she could get paid for the time she had to take off to quarantine, but unfortunately, since she works for a healthcare provider with greater than 500 employees, she cannot be compensated through the FFCRA.

**DARRYL**, a security officer in Florida who had been ordered to self-quarantine by a healthcare provider while awaiting the results of a COVID-19 test called our helpline looking for help because his employer told him that they considered him an emergency responder, and that he was therefore ineligible for emergency paid sick time under the FFCRA. We provided information on his rights and the Department of Labor’s definition of emergency responder. After talking with a DOL investigator, he was able to receive emergency sick time because he is not considered an emergency responder under the FFCRA.

**KATHERINE** is a physical therapist assistant at a nursing home in Lakeland, Florida. She is classified as a healthcare worker, and suffered COVID-19 symptoms. Her tests came back negative, but she was forced onto a 14-day quarantine by her doctor. Her employer considered her positive for COVID-19 based on her symptoms and is placing her on an additional 14-day quarantine. She does not have enough PTO available to cover all of her time out of work, so much of her second quarantine period will be unpaid. Her employer can opt to exempt her from the FFCRA’s coverage, since she is a healthcare worker.

**GEORGIA**

**MARINA** was a security officer in Georgia. Her 9-year-old’s daycare was closed due to COVID-19. Additionally, the childcare provider who typically assists her 17-year-old, who has special needs, closed due to COVID-19. Due to the nature of Marina’s work, she is considered an emergency responder under the FFCRA. Her employer opted for the emergency responder exemption under the FFCRA, so Marina was unable to take the leave she needed due to a lack of childcare for her daughter. As a result, she was forced to quit her job.
ILLINOIS

REGINA is an essential worker in the grocery industry and soon-to-be new mom in Illinois. She contacted A Better Balance’s helpline at 33 weeks pregnant to learn more about her rights because she was growing increasingly concerned about potential exposure to the coronavirus while pregnant. Her due date was drawing closer and closer just as coronavirus cases in her area were beginning to see a sharp spike. Regina’s doctor advised that she remain fully isolated for the two weeks prior to her due date due to health concerns. She still needed a paycheck, but knew she would not be able to perform her job entirely from home. Regina was surprised to learn that the FFCRA covered her. After speaking with her employer about it, she happily told us, “[M]y employer has accepted my request to use the FFCRA emergency [sick] leave and I am thrilled to know I will be paid to isolate the 2 weeks leading up to my due date.”

While the FFCRA is offering a tremendous benefit to Regina at a critical time, she is concerned that others who need emergency sick leave—such as two pregnant healthcare workers she spoke with whose employers both informed them that they were not covered under the law—are not able to access it. “It breaks my heart,” Regina told us, that they are essential workers yet have “no opportunity” to protect themselves and their pregnancies.

JENNA* is a factory worker in Illinois who has been employed at her company for more than three decades. Her husband suffers from various serious health conditions, for which she was approved for unpaid FMLA leave. However, she cannot afford unpaid leave. At the same time, she is fearful of contracting COVID-19 at work and exposing her husband as he is medically vulnerable. She is likely not eligible for paid leave under FFCRA due to her employer’s size.

IMANI* is a package handler in Illinois. She is pregnant and has medical concerns at work because her employer is not enforcing social distancing or making sure employees are wearing their masks. She also has a one-year-old child with respiratory problems whose childcare provider is unavailable due to COVID-19. Her employer told her they’d only give her a 30-day unpaid leave of absence. They are too large to be covered by FFCRA which otherwise would have given her the right to both emergency paid sick leave and emergency paid family leave based on the need to care for a child whose childcare provider is unavailable due to COVID-19.

ULYSSES is a hospital worker in Illinois. He was out sick for about a month after being diagnosed with the coronavirus. He quickly used up his available vacation and sick time and then went without pay. He heard about the FFCRA and thought he should have been eligible for emergency paid sick leave under it, but unfortunately his employer is likely too large to be subject to the law and even if they weren’t, they would still be able to claim the healthcare provider exemption.
**INDIANA**

CRAIG* is an emergency responder in Indiana. He tested positive for COVID-19 after returning from an out-of-state assignment he was directed to work by his employer. His employer claimed the emergency responder exemption and, as a result, gave him only limited sick pay under their existing policies—much less than he needed and would otherwise have been entitled to under the law.

**KANSAS**

JEANNE* is a nurse at a hospital in Kansas with 500 or more employees. She needs leave to care for her 96-year-old father who is in hospice and at high-risk for COVID-19. She is not eligible for any leave under the FFCRA because of her employer’s size. Even if her employer had fewer than 500 employees, she still might be exempt as a healthcare provider.

**KENTUCKY**

CRYSTAL is a youth counselor in Kentucky. While suffering from COVID-19 symptoms, she was denied emergency paid sick leave under the FFCRA and told to take FMLA leave. Without access to emergency paid sick leave, Crystal would have exhausted all of her FMLA before her doctor-recommended quarantine period was up, and could have been terminated from her job. After talking with our helpline, she was able to receive emergency paid sick leave and correct her FMLA leave entitlement, giving her more time to care for her health. As Crystal told us, “I was truly grateful for the information [about the FFCRA] and help that you provided me with. You saved my livelihood!”

**MAINE**

MONA*, an occupational therapist in Maine, needed leave to care for her neurodivergent daughter, whose school had closed due to COVID-19. Her employer initially told her they would provide her with leave, but later backtracked and told her to return to work. Her employer told her that if she did not return to work, she would be removed from her status as a full-time employee. Her employer is too large to be covered by the FFCRA, which might otherwise give her the right to job-protected paid sick leave and emergency paid family leave in this situation.
**REBECCA**, an employee at a fast food corporation in Massachusetts, needs leave to care for her elderly parents who have multiple health conditions and are at high-risk for COVID-19. Her employer is too large to be covered by the FFCRA, which might otherwise give her the ability to take two weeks of paid sick time in this situation.

**AMY** is a certified dementia practitioner and memory care director at a luxury assisted living facility in Massachusetts. She started unpaid FMLA earlier than planned during her pregnancy because her doctor advised her to self-quarantine due to concerns about COVID-19 and her health. She is exempt from the FFCRA based on the size of her employer (and even if her employer were small enough to be covered, they could choose to claim the optional healthcare provider exemption), so she wasn’t able to get paid during the time her doctor advised her to self-quarantine and she will have less FMLA time available to bond with her new baby than she would have if she were able to use the FFCRA’s paid sick leave instead of starting her FMLA leave early.

**RASHELLE**, a hospital employee in Massachusetts, is pregnant, has children whose daycares are closed due to COVID-19, and has a father who is considered high-risk. Her job requires her to work directly with COVID-19 patients. She was able to take some unpaid time off from work, but her employer has now indicated that they will not hold her job for much longer. Due to her employer’s size, they are not covered by the FFCRA (and, if they were covered, they could opt to exclude her since she is a healthcare worker); if they were covered, she could potentially qualify for both paid sick leave and paid family leave, based on the fact that her children’s daycares are closed due to COVID-19, which also come with job protection benefits.

**EMILY** is a fast food worker in Massachusetts. She was supposed to return from maternity leave in May, but daycares were closed due to COVID-19 and, as a result, she does not have childcare available for her infant. Her employer told her they would have to fire her if she did not return to work. They are not subject to the FFCRA because of their size. Even if they were, she would only be entitled to two weeks of emergency sick leave, not twelve weeks of emergency family leave because she already exhausted her FMLA while on maternity leave.
SARAH* is part of the kitchen staff at a large nursing home in Michigan. She tested positive for COVID-19, and her employer required her to stay home but would not pay her for the time she was out. Since her employer is too large for the FFCRA to apply she has no paid leave available to her.
YOLANDA*, an employee at a disability care home in Minnesota, had COVID-19 symptoms and had to miss a week of work while she waited for her test results, at her employer’s direction. She ultimately tested negative. She thought she would be paid for the time she missed under the FFCRA, as someone who had COVID-19 symptoms and was seeking a medical diagnosis, but her employer told her that they had opted out of the law’s coverage (presumably under the law’s optional exemption for the employers of healthcare workers).

JASMINE* is a cleaner at a hospital in Minneapolis, Minnesota. She doesn’t have childcare right now due to COVID-19, and needs to take leave from work. HR said she could take an unpaid leave of absence after exhausting her sick time, but her manager would need to sign off. Her manager won’t sign off because he says if they help her, they’ll have to help everyone. She is not eligible for emergency paid leave under the FFCRA because her employer has 500 or more employees.

HEATHER* is a dental hygienist in Minnesota. Her doctor has advised that she not return to work at this time due to possible exposure to COVID-19 while pregnant. Her employer granted her a leave of absence, but is requiring her to exhaust her remaining vacation time, which they could not require her to do if she were taking leave under the FFCRA. As a healthcare provider, her employer can claim an exemption from FFCRA even though there are under 500 employees.

PAULA is a medical assistant at a clinic in Minnesota. She was supposed to return from maternity leave after 12 weeks, but was temporarily laid off due to COVID-19. She anticipates her employer will call her back to work soon, but she is fearful of returning at this point and potentially exposing her baby to COVID-19. Unfortunately, her employer is too large to be covered by FFCRA, and could also potentially claim the FFCRA’s healthcare provider exemption. If her employer were covered, the FFCRA could potentially have given her the right to emergency paid sick leave in this situation, as someone who is caring for individuals who have been advised to self-quarantine by a healthcare provider.

MINNESOTA
MISSISSIPPI

TAMARA®, a worker at a hardware distribution plant in Mississippi called our helpline one week before the FFCRA took effect for information on her rights to care for her two children. Her one-year-old’s childcare center closed due to COVID-19. She was worried because her manager told her that she could only go on leave without any pay, unlike workers at other plants in the area. After calling our helpline, we quickly provided information on the new federal legislation, including information on paid emergency family leave and how that might apply to her. She shared that information with her human resource manager who then reversed her original position and authorized her to take two weeks of leave to care for her children with full pay after providing that the schools were in fact closed due to COVID-19. Although she would prefer to stay home and receive paid family leave, this worker received the devastating news that her employer is too large to be covered under the federal law, and she must return to work after the two weeks.

MISSOURI

ALLISON, an EMT in Missouri, is pregnant and needs to quarantine, on the advice of her healthcare provider, for three weeks prior to her due date. If she were covered by the FFCRA, she could take the two weeks of sick time provided by the law for that purpose, but her employer is too large to be covered by the law and, even if they were not, could opt to exclude her as a healthcare provider. As a result, she will have to use more of her FMLA time during her period of quarantine, leaving her with less time available to bond with her new baby after she gives birth.

NEVADA

KIARA® is a warehouse worker in Nevada. She has been off work without pay because her daughter’s school is closed due to COVID-19, and her employer is not providing her with any paid time off. They are exempt from the FFCRA because they have 500 or more employees—were they not, she would be eligible for paid sick leave and emergency paid family leave under the FFCRA in this situation.
NEW JERSEY

**STACI**, who works in a pharmacy in New Jersey, requested leave from work because she did not want to risk exposing her disabled daughter to COVID-19. Her daughter’s doctor advised Staci that it was not safe for her to return to work. Staci is not eligible for FFCRA leave because her employer is too large for the law to apply. Were her employer covered, she would likely qualify for two weeks of emergency paid sick leave as someone who is caring for an individual who has been advised to self-quarantine by a healthcare provider. She hopes to return to work once it is safe for her to do so, but she may not have any job-protected leave available to her.

**HOLLY** is a patient care worker at a hospital in New Jersey. She is on unpaid leave from her job to care for her daughter who had a severe seizure attack. She applied for NJ FLI benefits, but her application with the state is still pending after almost two months. Meanwhile she is also concerned about having to return to work while her child’s school is still closed due to COVID-19. Her employer is exempt from the FFCRA based on its size, and even if they were not, they could potentially opt to exclude her since she is a healthcare worker. She could otherwise qualify for both paid sick leave and emergency family leave under the FFCRA due to her child’s school closure.

**KIANA** is a healthcare worker in New Jersey. She is currently pregnant and has been advised by her healthcare provider to self-quarantine due to the risks of exposure to COVID-19 in her situation. She asked Human Resources if there were any jobs she could do from home temporarily. HR told her no such positions existed, even though she had heard that a non-pregnant worker had been temporarily granted a remote position due to COVID-19. Her employer may opt to exempt her from the FFCRA’s coverage since she’s a healthcare worker.
DAVID TIEU is a fire alarm technician in New York, New York, who, before the FFCRA was enacted, was told that he was being laid off after he asked to use his accrued time off when his son’s daycare closed due to COVID-19 and he suddenly had no childcare. He was ultimately able to get his job restored after filing a complaint with the NLRB, and was able to take twelve weeks of leave under the FFCRA based on his need to care for a child who no longer had childcare available due to COVID-19.

JEFFREY is an HVAC technician in Long Island, New York. He has worked at the same company for over ten years. He is immunocompromised and at an increased risk of infection. He has a 7-year-old son whose school closed due to the COVID-19 outbreak, and his wife, a nurse, is unable to stay at home during the work day because she is an essential worker. Jeffrey, worried about his family’s options, called to find out if he might be eligible to take paid family leave to care for his son, while staying healthy and employed. He is likely not protected by the FFCRA since his employer is too large.

DANNY*, a paramedic in New York, New York, has a pregnant fiancée at home who tested positive for COVID-19. She is in self-isolation, and Danny* is responsible for her care and the care of her son, whose school is closed due to COVID-19. His employer told him that he doesn’t qualify for FFCRA leave since he is a paramedic, apparently invoking the emergency responder exemption. He has exhausted all of his PTO during the pandemic and will now be on unpaid leave.

D.G. is a medical assistant in Orange County, NY and a single mom to her daughter who started the fourth grade this year with her school still shut down and remote due to the pandemic. Fortunately, D.G. was covered under the FFCRA and was able to take 12 weeks of emergency paid leave from her job to stay home with her daughter and supervise her virtual learning, which she believes was absolutely essential to her daughter’s education and well-being during this already very difficult time. “Being virtual is stressful as it is. She’s definitely struggling. It was important that I was there with her to make sure she was getting all the information she needs.” D.G. feels strongly that FFCRA leave should be extended into 2021 in order to “give parents and caregivers peace of mind” that they will not have to “scramble to figure out who will be watching their children or how they will pay for child care when they are unable to work.” As she says, “Cases are going up and there are many who are not comfortable...putting their families at risk for infection.”
AFIYA works at a non-profit organization in New York, New York, with 500 or more employees. She is therefore exempt from the FFCRA. She does not have childcare available due to COVID-19 and her employer told her that, because the FFCRA does not apply to them due to their size, the only option she has is to go on unemployment and then re-apply to work at the organization once she has childcare.

KAMEEKA works for a health insurance company in the Bronx, New York. Five of her six children were home from school due to COVID-19-related school closures. She received two weeks of sick pay from her employer, but she is not entitled to additional pay beyond that since her employer is exempt under FFCRA due to its size.

KELLY* is a therapist at a large hospital on Long Island, New York. It’s possible her children’s summer camp may be cancelled due to COVID-19. If that’s the case, she won’t be eligible for emergency leave under the FFCRA because her employer has 500 or more employees and in addition could potentially claim an exemption as a healthcare provider.

KYLE is a priority mail service worker in Syracuse, New York. He called us when he was home without pay caring for his children whose school was closed due to COVID-19. Unfortunately, he is not eligible for paid leave under the FFCRA because of his employer’s size.

MATT, who works in city government in New York, contacted our helpline seeking information about his rights under the FFCRA. After he spoke with us, and learned that he is covered by the FFCRA, Matt’s toddler son developed COVID-19 symptoms. Because of the FFCRA, Matt was able to take the day off to take his son to the doctor, and was able to take the next day off as well to care for him. Matt explained that it turned out that his son “didn’t have COVID, luckily, but definitely needed care. And it was a little scary, before we got the results back.” Matt said that the sick time provided by the FFCRA “allowed me to focus all of my attention on caring for the sick toddler without having to fulfill my job duties at the same time.”
NEW YORK CONTINUED

BRIDGET, who works at a large international education non-profit in New York, New York, has a newborn at home whose childcare is unavailable due to the COVID-19 pandemic. She is struggling to balance a full-time workload with full-time caregiving, and her employer has not offered any leave or accommodations to employees who find themselves in that situation. Her employer is too large for the FFCRA to apply.

EMMA*, a nurse at a nursing home in New York, has an adult child with a chronic illness whose place of care has closed as a result of COVID-19. Her child requires round-the-clock care, and Emma* needs leave to provide care for her child. Since she is a healthcare worker, her employer has opted to exempt her from the FFCRA’s coverage. Were she covered, she could likely have taken both emergency paid sick leave and emergency paid family leave under the FFCRA in this situation.

AASHNA*, a staff accountant at a healthcare organization in New York, is pregnant and has a child at home whose school closed, and whose regular care provider is unavailable, due to COVID-19. Due to her employer’s size, she is not covered by the FFCRA.

JAY works in public safety as a dispatcher in New York, New York. She has a special needs child whose school is closed due to COVID-19. She is not eligible for emergency paid leave under the FFCRA, even though her child’s school is closed due to COVID-19, because her employer has 500 or more employees and, even if they did not, they could potentially opt to exempt her as an emergency responder.
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NORTH CAROLINA

LULU* was a certified nurse assistant at an assisted living facility in North Carolina. There was a large outbreak of COVID-19 at her workplace, and she was exposed to a resident who tested positive for COVID-19. Her doctor advised her to self-quarantine and she was out of work for almost a week. However, her employer is too large to be covered by the FFCRA and did not provide her with paid leave.

LEIGHA THOMASON, a certified medical assistant at a mental health facility in North Carolina, called our helpline because her two sons’ summer camp was closed due to the pandemic. She needed time off from work to care for them, especially since one has high blood pressure that needs monitoring. While her employer provided her with some leave as a matter of company policy, her employer claimed the FFCRA’s healthcare provider exemption, denying her access to emergency family leave under the FFCRA. As a result, she had to attempt to juggle working full-time from home while also caring for her children, which took a serious toll on her own well-being and that of her children.

VICTORIA* worked as a personal assistant for an automotive company in North Carolina. During the start of the summer, Victoria was unsure of her right to paid family leave when her children’s school closed due to COVID-19. After talking with A Better Balance, she was able to take the full 12 weeks of emergency family leave under the FFCRA, and inform her employer of her rights. This emergency leave provided her with job protection and paid leave to care for her family’s well-being and keep crucial income.
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SHERYL* is a business manager at a military hospital in North Carolina. She was concerned about her rights to emergency paid sick time and family leave to care for her daughter who is 15 years old. Her daughter’s school had been closed during the pandemic, and she was worried about her daughter’s health and safety. After calling our helpline, she was able to request FFCRA from her employer and was approved for emergency sick time.
**Ohio**

**Paola** is a mental health case manager in Ohio. She was working from home due to COVID-19, but finding it very challenging with her three children (ages 4, 8, and 8 months) home from school and daycare due to COVID-19. Her 7-year-old stepson is sometimes home with her as well. Her employer wanted her to return to her office before she had childcare secured. She called to find out about her options. Unfortunately, her employer is likely exempt from FFCRA based on its size.

**Faith** works at an optical center in Cleveland, Ohio. She is pregnant with her third child. Her doctor has advised her not to return to work until she is postpartum, or until her doctor clears her to return, due to COVID-19-related risks to her pregnancy. Her employer is exempt from FFCRA due to its size, so she will not be able to get paid sick leave under the law.

**DANIELLE** works for a large bank in Ohio. Her husband is a nurse who works at a hospital 3 days/week. They have a young child and are on a waitlist for him to return to daycare (class sizes have been reduced due to COVID-19). Danielle is having a very difficult time getting work done on days her husband is at work and she has to take care of their son while working from home. She is exempt from the FFCRA due to her employer’s size; were she not exempt, she might be able to take paid sick leave and paid family leave on the days when she has childcare responsibilities due to COVID-19-related unavailability of childcare.

---

**Pennsylvania**

**Caroline** works for a managed care organization in Pennsylvania. She is a single mom to a young child whose school has been closed due to COVID-19. Her employer is exempt from the FFCRA due to its size, and therefore she doesn’t have the right to take leave from work to care for her son. Were she covered, she would likely be eligible for both paid sick leave and paid family leave under the law, based on the need to care for a child whose school is closed due to COVID-19. She is working from home right now, but it has been a tremendous challenge to do so while caring for her son. She is relatively new to the job and her performance is suffering as a result of needing to care for her son during the day while also working. She is worried about losing her job.
**RHODE ISLAND**

**CLAIRE**, a server at a restaurant in Rhode Island, is pregnant and is concerned about her restaurant reopening for indoor dining, based on her doctor’s advice and her situation. Her employer has approximately 500 employees, which means they may be too large to be covered by the FFCRA. Were they covered, she could potentially get two weeks of paid sick leave if a healthcare provider advised her to self-quarantine due to concerns related to COVID-19.

**SOUTH DAKOTA**

**DANIELLE**, a Licensed Practical Nurse in South Dakota, was advised by a healthcare provider to self-quarantine while pregnant due to concerns about COVID-19 affecting her pregnancy. Since she is a healthcare provider, her employer can opt to exclude her from the paid leave provided by the FFCRA. Since FFCRA leave isn’t available to her, she has been on unpaid leave. She applied for unemployment insurance benefits but was denied.

**TENNESSEE**

**HEATHER** is a bartender in Tennessee for an employer with fewer than 50 employees. Her daycare provider has an autoimmune condition and, accordingly, shut down due to the pandemic, leaving Heather without childcare for her 9- and 11-year-old children. Since her employer is a small business, they may be able to claim the FFCRA’s small business exemption for childcare-related FFCRA leave. Heather requested leave to address her childcare needs, and her employer terminated her shortly after.
ALMA* is a FAB operator in San Antonio, Texas. She called our helpline for information because she needed to stay home to care for her four kids, whose schools had closed due to COVID-19. Since her employer had 500 or more employees, they are not covered by the FFCRA, and do not have to provide her with the emergency paid sick leave and emergency paid family leave she would be entitled to were they covered by the law. Without paid emergency leave, her only option is unpaid leave.

VIVIAN* is a property accountant in San Antonio, Texas. She called our helpline with questions on unemployment and the FFCRA. She requested to take FFCRA leave due to lack of childcare for her two children due to COVID-19, but she was denied FFCRA leave because her FMLA leave was exhausted due to maternity leave and the emergency family leave available under the FFCRA is considered a type of FMLA leave. Soon after this denial, she put in the two-week’s notice of resignation due to the difficulties she experienced teleworking and taking care of her children during the pandemic.

DEJA* is a server at an airport in Texas. She called our helpline with questions about her rights to emergency paid family leave because she did not have childcare for her three children since their schools—and then their summer camp—closed due to COVID-19. Her employer told her that, since they had gotten a PPP loan, leave under the FFCRA may not be available. After calling our helpline, she was able to explain the differences between the PPP loan and the FFCRA to her employer to make sure she would get the leave she needs. She submitted documentation that her children's summer camp was closed over the summer and HR approved her for FFCRA leave.

BROOKE* is a software analyst for a civil engineering company in Houston, Texas. She had to telework because her child’s school was closed due to COVID-19. Her employer is classified as essential because they build websites and coding for some transportation clients. After originally agreeing to allow her to work from home, her employer backtracked and exhausted all of her PTO and vacation time. Her employer may be able to opt for the FFCRA’s small business exemption, so she may not be able to use the emergency paid sick time and emergency paid family leave to which she would otherwise be entitled under the law.
**VIRGINIA**

**ERIC** works in sales in Virginia. He needed leave to care for his child while her school was closed. He was relatively new to the job and did not have the option to telework, and he was concerned for the health and safety of his family and their financial security. He requested paid leave multiple times from his employer with no success. While he had not been employed long enough to qualify for emergency paid family leave under the FFCRA, he qualified for emergency paid sick leave under the FFCRA. After talking with A Better Balance and using our resources, he was able to receive emergency paid sick leave under the FFCRA to care for his family and stay safe.

**MADELINE**, an eyewear specialist in Lynchburg, Virginia was asked to return to work, after several months on furlough. Her children’s daycare is closing an hour early due to COVID-19, and she cannot afford the late fees that she will incur if she is unable to pick them up on time. Her employer is refusing to structure her schedule upon her return in a way that would allow her to pick them up. If her employer were covered by the FFCRA, she might be able to take leave intermittently to ensure that she can address her COVID-19-related childcare needs. Unfortunately, given her employer’s size, that is not an option, and she is going to have to look for different employment.

**GABRIELLA** is a customer service representative at a gas precision company in Virginia. She is pregnant and was worried about going into work, since she knew people at work had been sent home with COVID-19 symptoms and/or because they have spouses who have tested positive. While her state was under a stay-at-home order when she called us, her employer was considered an essential business. As a result, she may not have been covered by the FFCRA since she would not have been considered to be under a federal, state, or local order of quarantine or isolation.

**BRENDA**, an emergency nurse in Virginia, is pregnant and high-risk. Her doctor initially advised her to stay away from COVID-19 patients, and her employer was initially accommodating such requests but later backtracked. Her doctor then advised her to self-quarantine due to concerns related to COVID-19. She is not eligible for disability benefits under her employer’s policy, and is not eligible for FMLA leave, so her employer told her that she would have to take an unpaid leave of absence. Her position may or may not be available when she comes back. Since she is a healthcare provider, her employer can choose to exempt her from the FFCRA, so she may not be able to access the FFCRA’s two weeks of emergency paid sick leave, even though her doctor has advised her to stay out of work due to concerns related to COVID-19.

While her state was under a stay-at-home order when she called us, her employer was considered an essential business. As a result, she may not have been covered by the FFCRA since she would not have been considered to be under a federal, state, or local order of quarantine or isolation.
**WASHINGTON**

**DIANE** is a supermarket worker in Washington State. She has metabolic disorders including type 1 diabetes. She is on an unpaid leave of absence right now because her doctor has advised her to self-quarantine due to the risks of contracting COVID-19. While she was able to use the remainder of her sick time, she was not eligible for emergency paid sick leave under FFCRA since her employer has 500 or more employees.

**WISCONSIN**

**KEVIN** is a supervisor at a large manufacturing company in Wisconsin. He is caring for his son who has COVID-19, and he has also been personally advised to self-quarantine. Kevin’s employer is exempt from the FFCRA due to its size so his employer told him his only options were to use his PTO or go on unpaid leave. He told us, “I don't understand. Bigger companies still have employees that depend on [emergency paid sick leave] just like small ones do and it’s big companies getting out of it once again.”

**DEAN** has worked at a worldwide manufacturing company in Wisconsin for more than a decade. He and his wife have been advised by his wife’s healthcare provider to self-quarantine due to his wife being at very high-risk. He is not eligible for emergency paid sick leave under the FFCRA because his employer is too large.
A Better Balance is a non-profit legal advocacy organization working nationally to promote fairness, equality, and justice in the workplace for women and families.

Help support more outreach, public education, and important research and advocacy such as this report at www.abetterbalance.org.